

## REMARKS

### REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C § 112

Claim 35 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant has amended claim 35 to depend from claim 34 to provide proper antecedent basis for "multiple portions." Thus, Applicant submits claim 35, as amended, particularly points out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests the objection under U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph be withdrawn.

### ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Applicant acknowledges that claims 32-34 are allowed.


### CONCLUSION

In view of the remarks set forth above, Applicant submits that claims 32-35 are in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully solicited. The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is believed that such contact would further the examination of the present application.

Please charge any shortages and credit any overcharges to our Deposit Account number  
02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,  
**BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP**

Date: 10/6/06

  
Jared S. Engstrom  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 58,330

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026  
(503) 439-8778